

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/769,051 01/30/2004 Joshua D. Rabinowitz 00042.04CON 7033 EXAMINER 7590 03/08/2005 IP Department HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA Alexza Molecular Delivery Corporation PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1001 East Meadow Circle Palo Alto, CA 94303 1616

**DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· _ <del> </del>	A I' A' NI	1 4 11 11 11	
^	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\angle$
Office Action Summary	10/769,051	RABINOWITZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mina Haghighatian	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re  2. Ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT  ate, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communica  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	September 2004.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	sis
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
	•		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		(DTO 110)	
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Su Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/24/04.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/769,051

Art Unit: 1616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-66 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,814,955 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claims. Here claims 1-66 are generic to all that is recited in claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,814,955 B2. That is, claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,814,955 B2 fall entirely within the scope of claims 1-66, or in other words, claims 1-66 are anticipated by claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,814,955 B2. Specifically, the compositions and method of producing an active compounds such as chlordiazepoxide, testosterone, betahistine, estrogen esters, estradiol esters, etc, recited in instant claims 1-66 are anticipated by the composition for delivery and method of producing them as recited in claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,814,955 B2. The rate of particle formation as recited in claims of the instant application are disclosed in the specification of U.S. Patent No. 6,814,955 B2.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,051

**Art Unit: 1616** 

Claims 1-66 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 18-28 of copending Application No. 10/769,046. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claims. Here claims 1-66 are generic to all that is recited in claims of copending Application No. 10/769,046. That is, claims of copending Application No. 10/769,046 fall entirely within the scope of claims 1-66, or in other words, claims 1-66 are anticipated by claims of copending Application No. 10/769,046. Specifically, the compositions and method of producing an active compounds such as chlordiazepoxide, testosterone, betahistine, estrogen esters, estradiol esters, etc, recited in instant claims 1-66 are anticipated-by-the-method of administering an active compounds and the kit comprising the composition and a device of copending Application No. 10/769,046.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-66 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-82 of copending Application No. 10/718,982. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claims. Here claims 1-66 are generic to all that is recited in claims of copending Application No. 10/718,982. That is, claims of copending Application No. 10/718,982 fall entirely within the scope of claims 1-66, or in other words,

Application/Control Number: 10/769,051

Art Unit: 1616

claims 1-66 are anticipated by claims of copending Application No. 10/718,982. Specifically, the compositions and method of producing an active compounds such as chlordiazepoxide, testosterone, betahistine, estrogens, estrogen esters, estradiol esters, etc, recited in instant claims 1-66 are anticipated by the compositions and the kit comprising the compositions and a device of copending Application No. 10/718,982.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 9-11 of U.S. Patent No.-6,737,042-B2.—Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claims. Here claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are generic to all that is recited in claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,042 B2. That is, claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,042 B2 fall entirely within the scope of claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61, or in other words, claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are anticipated by claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,042 B2. Specifically, the compositions and method of producing an active compounds such as chlordiazepoxide, testosterone, betahistine, estrogen esters, estradiol esters, etc, recited in instant claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are anticipated by the composition for delivery and method of producing them as recited in claims 1-2 and 9-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,042 B2. The rate of particle formation as recited in claims of the instant application are disclosed in the specification of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,042 B2.

Page 5

Claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application Nos. 10/768,205 and 10/749,783. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claims. Here claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are generic to all that is recited in claims of copending Application Nos. 10/768,205 and 10/749,783. That is, claims of copending Application Nos. 10/768,205 and 10/749,783 fall entirely within the scope of claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61, or in other words, claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are anticipated by claims of copending Application Nos. 10/768,205 and 10/749,783. Specifically, the compositions, method of producing and method of treating a disease comprising an active-compounds-such as estrogen esters, estradiol esters, ethinyl estradiol esters, etc, recited in instant claims 16, 22, 28, 49, 55, 61 are anticipated by the compositions and method of administering the active compounds and the kit comprising the composition and a device of copending Application Nos. 10/768,205 and 10/749,783.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mina Haghighatian whose telephone number is 571-272-0615. The examiner can normally be reached on core office hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mina Haghighatian

March 02, 2005

MICHAEL HARTLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER